

ON τ - \oplus -SUPPLEMENTED MODULES

Y. TALEBI, T. AMOOZEGAR, AND A. R. MONIRI HAMZEKOLAEI

ABSTRACT. Let τ be any preradical and M any module. In [2], Al-Takhman, Lomp and Wisbauer defined τ -supplemented module. In this paper we introduce the (completely) τ - \oplus -supplemented modules. It is shown that (1) Any finite direct sum of τ - \oplus -supplemented modules is τ - \oplus -supplemented. (2) If M is τ - \oplus -supplemented module and (D_3) then M is completely τ - \oplus -supplemented.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper R will denote an arbitrary associative ring with identity and all modules will be unitary right R -modules. A functor τ from the category of the right R -modules to itself is called a *preradical* if it satisfies the following properties:

- (1) $\tau(M)$ is a submodule of an R -module M ,
- (2) If $f : M' \rightarrow M$ is an R -module homomorphism, then $f(\tau(M')) \subseteq \tau(M)$ and $\tau(f)$ is the restriction of f to $\tau(M')$.

A preradical τ is called a *right exact preradical* if for any submodule K of M , $\tau(K) = \tau(M) \cap K$. But it is well known if K is a direct summand of M , then $\tau(K) = \tau(M) \cap K$ for a preradical.

Let M be an R -module and τ denote a preradical. Like in [2], a submodule $K \leq M$ is called τ -supplement (weak τ -supplement) provided there exists some $U \leq M$ such that $M = U + K$ and $U \cap K \subseteq \tau(K)$ ($U \cap K \subseteq \tau(M)$).

M is called τ -supplemented (weakly τ -supplemented) if each of its submodules has a τ -supplement (weak τ -supplement) in M . M is called *amply τ -supplemented*, if for all submodules K and L of M with $K + L = M$, K contains a τ -supplement of L in M . Kosan and Harmanci [9] studied supplemented modules relative to torsion theories. Motivated by their work, we study \oplus -supplemented modules with respect to a preradical. Also another work has been done on C_1 modules (see [12]).

A module M is called τ -lifting if for every submodule K of M , there is a decomposition $K = A \oplus B$, such that A is a direct summand of M and $B \subseteq \tau(M)$.

In this paper we introduce the (completely) τ - \oplus -supplemented modules and investigate some properties of them.

Our paper is organized as follows.

In Section 2, we define the concept of τ - \oplus -supplemented module. We call a module M τ - \oplus -supplemented if every submodule of M has a τ -supplement that is a direct summand of M . Then we show any finite direct sum of τ - \oplus -supplemented modules is τ - \oplus -supplemented. We also investigate when a direct summand of a τ - \oplus -supplemented module is τ - \oplus -supplemented.

In Section 3, we call a module M *completely τ - \oplus -supplemented* if every direct summand of M is τ - \oplus -supplemented and prove if M is τ - \oplus -supplemented module and (D_3) , then M is completely τ - \oplus -supplemented.

The notation $N \leq_d M$ denotes that N is a direct summand of M .

Definition 1.1. For any preradical τ , we call a module M , τ - \oplus -supplemented if every submodule of M has a τ -supplement that is a direct summand of M .

Theorem 1.2. *For any preradical τ , any finite direct sum of τ - \oplus -supplemented modules is τ - \oplus -supplemented.*

Proof. Let $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ where M_1 and M_2 are two τ - \oplus -supplemented modules. Let P be any submodule of M . We have $P + M_2 = M_2 \oplus [(P + M_2) \cap M_1]$ and $(P + M_2) \cap M_1$ is a submodule of M_1 . Since M_1 is τ - \oplus -supplemented, there exists a direct summand K_1 of M_1 such that $[(P + M_2) \cap M_1] + K_1 = M_1$ and $(P + M_2) \cap K_1 \subseteq \tau(K_1)$. We have $(P + K_1) \cap M_2$ is a submodule of M_2 , so there exists a direct summand K_2 of M_2 such that $[(P + K_1) \cap M_2] + K_2 = M_2$ and $(P + K_1) \cap K_2 \subseteq \tau(K_2)$. Let $K = K_1 \oplus K_2$, K is a direct summand of M . Moreover $M_1 \leq P + M_2 + K_1$ and $M_2 \leq P + K_1 + K_2$. Hence $M = P + K_1 + K_2 = P + K$. Since $P \cap (K_1 + K_2) \subseteq [(P + K_1) \cap K_2] + [(P + K_2) \cap K_1]$, thus $P \cap (K_1 + K_2) \subseteq [(P + K_1) \cap K_2] + [(P + M_2) \cap K_1]$. As $(P + M_2) \cap K_1 \subseteq \tau(K_1)$ and $(P + K_1) \cap K_2 \subseteq \tau(K_2)$, we have $(P \cap K) \subseteq \tau(K)$. Thus M is τ - \oplus -supplemented. \square

A nonzero module M is called *completely torsion* if for every proper submodule K of M , $K \subseteq \tau(M)$.

Corollary 1.3. *For any preradical τ , any finite direct sum of completely torsion modules is τ - \oplus -supplemented.*

Theorem 1.4. *Let M_i ($1 \leq i \leq n$) be any finite collection of relatively projective modules. Then for any preradical τ , the module $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_i$ is τ - \oplus -supplemented if and only if M_i is τ - \oplus -supplemented for each $1 \leq i \leq n$.*

Proof. The sufficiency is proved in Theorem 1.2. Conversely, we only prove M_1 to be τ - \oplus -supplemented. Let $A \leq M_1$. Then there exists $B \leq M$ such that $M = A + B$, B is a direct summand of M and $A \cap B \subseteq \tau(B)$. Since $M = A + B = M_1 + B$, by [10, Lemma 4.47], there exists $B_1 \leq B$ such that $M = M_1 \oplus B_1$. Thus $B = B_1 \oplus (M_1 \cap B)$. Note that $M_1 = A + (M_1 \cap B)$ and $M_1 \cap B$ is a direct summand of M_1 . Therefore $A \cap B = A \cap (M_1 \cap B) \subseteq \tau(B) \cap (M_1 \cap B) = \tau(M_1 \cap B)$. Hence M_1 is τ - \oplus -supplemented. \square

A factor module of a τ - \oplus -supplemented module need not be τ - \oplus -supplemented for $\tau = \text{Rad}$ (see [6, Examples 2.2 and 2.3]).

Theorem 1.5. *Let M be a τ - \oplus -supplemented module for any preradical τ and $X \leq M$. If for every direct summand K of M , $(X + K)/X$ is a direct summand of M/X , then M/X is τ - \oplus -supplemented.*

Proof. Let $N/X \leq M/X$. Since M is τ - \oplus -supplemented, there exists a direct summand K of M such that $N + K = M$ and $N \cap K \subseteq \tau(K)$. Then $N/X + (K + X)/X = M/X$. By assumption, $(K + X)/X$ is a direct summand of M/X . It is easy to check that $(N/X) \cap ((K + X)/X) \subseteq \tau((K + X)/X)$. \square

Let M be a module. Then M is called *distributive* if its lattice of submodules is a distributive lattice, equivalently for submodules K, L, N of M , $N + (K \cap L) = (N + K) \cap (N + L)$ or $N \cap (K + L) = (N \cap K) + (N \cap L)$.

Let M be a module. A submodule X of M is called *fully invariant*, if for every $f \in \text{End}(M)$, $f(X) \subseteq X$. The module M is called *duo module*, if every submodule of M is fully invariant. The submodule A of M is called *projection invariant* in M if $f(A) \subseteq A$, for any idempotent $f \in \text{End}(M)$.

Corollary 1.6. *Let M be a τ - \oplus -supplemented module for any preradical τ .*

- (1) *Let $N \leq M$ such that for each decomposition $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ we have $N = (N \cap M_1) \oplus (N \cap M_2)$. Then M/N is τ - \oplus -supplemented. (In particular, this is true for any distributive module). If moreover $N \leq_d M$, then N is τ - \oplus -supplemented.*
- (2) *Let X be a projection invariant submodule of M . Then M/X is τ - \oplus -supplemented. In particular, for every fully invariant submodule A of M , M/A is τ - \oplus -supplemented.*

Proof. (1) Let $L/N \leq M/N$. Since M is τ - \oplus -supplemented, there exists a direct summand D of M such that $M = L + D$ and $L \cap D \subseteq \tau(D)$. Then $M/N = L/N + (D + N)/N$ and $L/N \cap (D + N)/N = (L \cap (D + N))/N \subseteq \tau((D + N)/N)$. Let $M = D \oplus D'$. By assumption, $N = (N \cap D) \oplus (N \cap D') = (D + N) \cap (D' + N)$. So, $(D + N)/N \oplus (D' + N)/N = M/N$. It follows that M/N is τ - \oplus -supplemented.

Now let $N \leq_d M$ and $V \leq N$. Then there exist submodules K and K' of such that $M = K \oplus K' = V + K$ and $V \cap K \subseteq \tau(K)$. Thus $N = V + N \cap K$. By assumption $N \cap K \leq_d N$. Moreover, $V \cap (N \cap K) \subseteq \tau(K)$. Then $V \cap (N \cap K) \subseteq \tau(N \cap K)$. Therefore, N is τ - \oplus -supplemented.

(2) Clear by (1). □

Let M be an R -module. By $P_\tau(M)$ we denote the sum of all submodules N of M with $\tau(N) = N$. Since $P_\tau(M)$ is a sum of some submodules of M , itself is a submodule of M .

Corollary 1.7. *Let M be a τ - \oplus -supplemented module for any preradical τ . Then $M/P_\tau(M)$ is τ - \oplus -supplemented. If moreover $P_\tau(M) \leq_d M$, then $P_\tau(M)$ is τ - \oplus -supplemented.*

Proof. By Corollary 1.6(1), it suffices to prove that $P_\tau(M)$ is a fully invariant submodule of M . Let $N \leq M$ such that $N = \tau(N)$ and $f \in \text{End}(M)$ and g its restriction to N . But $\tau(N) = N$ and $f(N) = g(N)$, hence $f(N) \subseteq \tau(f(N))$. Thus, $\tau(f(N)) = f(N)$. This implies that $f(N) \subseteq P_\tau(M)$. This completes the proof. □

We recall that a module M is called *semi-Artinian* if every nonzero quotient module of M has nonzero socle. For a module M , we define $Sa(M) = \sum\{U \leq M \mid U \text{ semi-Artinian}\}$.

Corollary 1.8. *Let M be a τ - \oplus -supplemented module for any preradical τ . Then $M/Sa(M)$ is τ - \oplus -supplemented. If, moreover, $Sa(M)$ is a direct summand of M , then $Sa(M)$ is also τ - \oplus -supplemented.*

Proof. Let $f \in \text{End}(M)$ and U a semi-Artinian submodule. Let g be restriction of f to U . Thus $U/\text{Ker}(g) \cong g(U)$. Hence $f(U) \cong U/\text{Ker}(g)$. But it is easy to check that $U/\text{Ker}(g)$ is a semi-Artinian module. Therefore, $f(U)$ is semi-Artinian. This implies that $f(Sa(M)) \subseteq Sa(M)$. Thus $Sa(M)$ is a fully invariant submodule of M . The result follows from Corollary 1.6(1). □

Remark 1.9. If M is a τ - \oplus -supplemented module for any preradical τ , then $M/\tau(M)$ is semisimple and hence τ - \oplus -supplemented.

Example 1.10. Let M be the Z -module $Z/2Z \oplus Z/8Z$. By [8, Example 10], M is not lifting and it is not τ -lifting. By [5, Theorem 1.4], M is \oplus -supplemented and hence τ - \oplus -supplemented for $\tau = \text{Rad}$.

A τ -lifting module is τ - \oplus -supplemented. But the converse does not hold. The following proposition shows that under some assumption it can be true.

Proposition 1.11. *Assume M is τ - \oplus -supplemented for any preradical τ such that whenever $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ then M_1 and M_2 are relatively projective. Then M is τ -lifting.*

Proof. Let $N \leq M$. Since M is τ - \oplus -supplemented, there exists a decomposition $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ such that $M = N + M_2$ and $N \cap M_2 \subseteq \tau(M_2)$ for submodules M_1, M_2 of M . By hypothesis, M_1 is M_2 -projective. By [10, Lemma 4.47], we obtain $M = A \oplus M_2$ for some submodule A of M such that $A \leq N$. Then $N = A \oplus (M_2 \cap N)$. So M is τ -lifting by [2, 2.8]. \square

Corollary 1.12. *Let M be a τ - \oplus -supplemented module for any preradical τ . If M is projective then M is τ -lifting.*

Now we give a characterization of τ - \oplus -supplemented rings.

Theorem 1.13. *Let τ be any preradical. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) R is τ - \oplus -supplemented;
- (2) Every finitely generated free R -module is τ - \oplus -supplemented;
- (3) If F is a finitely generated free R -module and N a fully invariant submodule, then F/N is τ - \oplus -supplemented.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let M be a finitely generated free R -module. Then $M \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n R$. Since any finite direct sum of τ - \oplus -supplemented modules is τ - \oplus -supplemented, the result follows.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) By (2), F is τ - \oplus -supplemented. The result follows from Corollary 1.6(2).

(3) \Rightarrow (1) is clear. \square

Lemma 1.14. *Let $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$. Then for any preradical τ , M_2 is τ - \oplus -supplemented if and only if for every submodule N/M_1 of M/M_1 , there exists a direct summand K of M such that $K \leq M_2$, $M = K + N$ and $N \cap K \subseteq \tau(M)$.*

Proof. Suppose that M_2 is τ - \oplus -supplemented. Let $N/M_1 \leq M/M_1$. As M_2 is τ - \oplus -supplemented, there exists a decomposition $M_2 = K \oplus K'$ such that $M_2 = (N \cap M_2) + K$ and $N \cap K \subseteq \tau(K)$. Note that $M = (N \cap M_2) + K + M_1$ gives $M = N + K$.

Conversely, suppose that M/M_1 has the stated property. Let H be a submodule of M_2 . Consider the submodule $(H \oplus M_1)/M_1 \leq M/M_1$. By hypothesis, there exists a direct summand L of M such that $L \leq M_2$, $M = (L + H) + M_1$ and $L \cap (H + M_1) \subseteq \tau(M)$. By modularity, $M_2 = L + H$. Then $L \cap H \subseteq \tau(L)$. Thus, L is a τ -supplement of H in M_2 and it is a direct summand of M_2 . Therefore, M_2 is τ - \oplus -supplemented. \square

Theorem 1.15. *Let τ be any preradical and M_2 a direct summand of a τ - \oplus -supplemented module M such that for every direct summand K of M with $M = K + M_2$, $K \cap M_2$ is a direct summand of M . Then M_2 is τ - \oplus -supplemented.*

Proof. Suppose that $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ and let $N/M_1 \leq M/M_1$. Consider the submodule $N \cap M_2$ of M . Since M is τ - \oplus -supplemented, there exists a direct summand K of M such that $M = (N \cap M_2) + K$ and $N \cap M_2 \cap K \subseteq \tau(K)$. Note that $M = N + M_2$. By [7, Lemma 1.2], $M = (K \cap M_2) + N$. Since $M = K + M_2$, $K \cap M_2$ is a direct summand of M by hypothesis. By Lemma 1.14, M_2 is τ - \oplus -supplemented. \square

Corollary 1.16. *Let M be a τ - \oplus -supplemented module for any preradical τ and K a direct summand of M such that M/K is K -projective. Then K is τ - \oplus -supplemented.*

Proof. Let L be a direct summand of M with $M = L + K$. Since K is a direct summand of M , $M = K \oplus K_0$ for some submodule K_0 of M . Therefore, K_0 is K -projective. Then by [16, 41.14], there exists a submodule L_0 of L such that $M = L_0 \oplus K$. Now $L = L' \oplus (L \cap K)$ implies that $L \cap K$ is a direct summand of M . By Theorem 1.15, K is τ - \oplus -supplemented. \square

Corollary 1.17. *Let M be a τ - \oplus -supplemented module for any preradical τ and $N \leq_d M$ such that M/N is projective. Then N is τ - \oplus -supplemented.*

A submodule N of M is called *small* in M (notation $N \ll M$) if $\forall L \leq M, L + N \neq M$. A module M is called *hollow* if every proper submodule of M is small in M .

Let M be a module and S denote the class of all small modules. Talebi and Vanaja [13] defined $\overline{Z}(M)$ as follows:

$\overline{Z}(M) = \bigcap \{ \ker g \mid g \in \text{Hom}(M, L), L \in S \}$. The module M is called *cosingular* (*non-cosingular*) if $\overline{Z}(M) = 0$ ($\overline{Z}(M) = M$). Clearly every non-cosingular module is \overline{Z} - \oplus -supplemented. Also if R is a non-cosingular ring, then every R -module is \overline{Z} - \oplus -supplemented by [13, Proposition 2.4].

In [11] for any preradical τ , the authors call a module M , τ -semiperfect if it satisfies one of the following conditions (see [11, Proposition 2.1]):

- (1) For every submodule K of M there exists a decomposition $K = A \oplus B$ such that A is a projective direct summand of M and $B \subseteq \tau(M)$;
- (2) For every submodule K of N , there exists a decomposition $M = A \oplus B$ such that A is a projective direct summand of M , $A \leq K$ and $K \cap B \subseteq \tau(M)$.

By this definition every τ -semiperfect module is τ -lifting and hence τ - \oplus -supplemented. Also if M is projective we have the following:

τ -semiperfect $\Leftrightarrow \tau$ -lifting $\Leftrightarrow \tau$ - \oplus -supplemented.

A τ - \oplus -supplemented module need not be \oplus -supplemented and the converse also hold.

Example 1.18. Let K be a field and let $R = \prod_{n \geq 1} K_n$ with $K_n = K$. By [14, Example 4.1(1)] R is not semiperfect. Since R is projective, R is not \oplus -supplemented by [5, Lemma 1.2]. Again by [14, Example 4.1(1)], the module R is \overline{Z} -semiperfect and so it is \overline{Z} - \oplus -supplemented.

If R is a DVR (Discrete Valuation Ring), then by [14, Example 4.1(1)] the R -module R_R is semiperfect and hence \oplus -supplemented but it is not \overline{Z} -semiperfect and so it is not \overline{Z} - \oplus -supplemented.

Now we give an equivalent condition for a module to be \overline{Z} - \oplus -supplemented under some assumptions.

Proposition 1.19. *Let R be a commutative ring and P a projective module with $\text{Rad}(P) \ll P$ and P has finite hollow dimension. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) P is \overline{Z} - \oplus -supplemented;
- (2) $P = P_1 \oplus P_2 \oplus P_3$ with P_1 is \oplus -supplemented and $\text{Rad}(P_1) = \overline{Z}(P_1)$, P_2 is semisimple and $\overline{Z}(P_3) = P_3$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) By the proof of [14, Corollary 4.3] and since every semiperfect is \oplus -supplemented .

(2) \Rightarrow (1) By [14, Corollary 4.3] all P_1, P_2 and P_3 are \overline{Z} -semiperfect and hence \overline{Z} - \oplus -supplemented. Since any finite direct sum of \overline{Z} - \oplus -supplemented modules is \overline{Z} - \oplus -supplemented, P is \overline{Z} - \oplus -supplemented. \square

Let $e = e^2 \in R$. Then e is called a *left (right) semicentral idempotent* if $xe = exe$ ($ex = exe$), for all $x \in R$. The set of all left (right) semicentral idempotents is denoted by $S_l(R)$ ($S_r(R)$). A ring R is called *Abelian* if every idempotent is central.

Let M be a module. We consider the following condition.

(D_3) If M_1 and M_2 are direct summands of M with $M = M_1 + M_2$, then $M_1 \cap M_2$ is also a direct summand of M .

By [10, Lemma 4.6 and Proposition 4.38], every quasi-projective module is (D_3).

Proposition 1.20. *Let M be an R -module such that $\text{End}(M)$ is Abelian and $X \leq M$ implies $X = \sum_{i \in I} h_i(M)$ where $h_i \in \text{End}(M)$. Then for any preradical τ , M is τ - \oplus -supplemented if and only if M is τ -lifting and has (D_3)-condition.*

Proof. The sufficiency is obvious. Conversely, let $X \leq M$, $X = \sum_{i \in I} h_i(M)$ with $h_i(M) \in \text{End}(M)$. Since M is τ - \oplus -supplemented, there exists a direct summand eM such that $X + eM = M$ and $(X \cap eM) \subseteq \tau(eM)$ for some $e^2 = e \in \text{End}(M)$. Since $\text{End}(M)$ is Abelian, $(1-e)X = (1-e)M = (1-e) \sum_{i \in I} h_i(M) = \sum_{i \in I} h_i(1-e)(M) \subseteq X$. Therefore $X = (1-e)M \oplus (X \cap eM)$. Hence M is τ -lifting. If $eM + fM = M$ for $e^2 = e, f^2 = f \in \text{End}(M)$, then $eM \cap fM = efM$ with $(ef)^2 = ef$. So M has (D_3)-condition. \square

Recall that an R -module M is said to be a *multiplication module* if for each $X \leq M$ there exists $A_R \leq R_R$ such that $X = MA$.

Corollary 1.21. *If M satisfies one of the following conditions, then M is τ -lifting if and only if M is τ - \oplus -supplemented for any preradical τ .*

- (1) M is cyclic and R is commutative.
- (2) M is a multiplication module and R is commutative.

Proof. (1) Assume that M is cyclic and R is commutative. There exists $B_R \leq R_R$ such that $M \cong R/B$. Let $Y/B \leq R/B$, $Y/B = \sum_{i \in I} (y_i R + B) = (\sum_{i \in I} y_i + B)R$ where each $y_i \in Y$. Define $h_i : R/B \rightarrow R/B$ by $h_i(r + B) = y_i r + B, i \in I$. Then it is easy to check that $h_i \in \text{End}_R(R/B)$. Hence $Y/B = \sum_{i \in I} h_i(R/B)$. Since R is commutative, $\text{End}_R(R/B)$ is also commutative. By Proposition 1.20, M is τ -lifting.

(2) Assume M is a multiplication module. Let $X \leq M$. Then $X = MA$ for some $A_R \leq R_R$. For each $a \in A$, define $h_a : M \rightarrow M$ by $h_a(m) = ma$ for all $m \in M$. Then h_a is an R -homomorphism and $X = MA = \sum_{a \in A} h_a(M)$. Since every multiplication module is a duo module, thus if $e^2 = e \in S = \text{End}(M)$, then e ,

$1 - e \in S_l(S)$. Therefore e is central. So $\text{End}(M)$ is Abelian. Again by Proposition 1.20, M is τ -lifting. \square

2. COMPLETELY τ - \oplus -SUPPLEMENTED MODULES

Definition 2.1. For any preradical τ , we call a module M *completely τ - \oplus -supplemented* for any preradical τ if every direct summand of M is a τ - \oplus -supplemented.

Theorem 2.2. *Let M be a module with (D_3) and τ a preradical. Then M is τ - \oplus -supplemented if and only if M is completely τ - \oplus -supplemented.*

Proof. Sufficiency is clear. Conversely, assume that M is τ - \oplus -supplemented and K a direct summand of M and A a submodule of K . We show A has a τ -supplement in K that is a direct summand of K . Since M is τ - \oplus -supplemented, there exists a direct summand B of M such that $M = A + B$ and $A \cap B \subseteq \tau(B)$. Then $K = A + (K \cap B)$. Furthermore $K \cap B$ is a direct summand of M because M has (D_3) . Then $A \cap (K \cap B) = (A \cap B) \cap (K \cap B) \subseteq \tau(B) \cap (K \cap B) = \tau(K \cap B)$. \square

A submodule K of M is called *essential* in M (notation $K \leq_e M$) if $K \cap A \neq 0$ for any nonzero submodule A of M .

Proposition 2.3. *Let M be a τ -supplemented module for any preradical τ . Then $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$, where M_1 is semisimple module and M_2 is a module with $\tau(M_2)$ essential in M_2 .*

Proof. See [2, 2.2]. \square

Recall that a module M has the *Summand Sum Property* (SSP) if the sum of any two direct summand of M is again a direct summand.

Theorem 2.4. (1) *Every τ -lifting module is completely τ - \oplus -supplemented for any preradical τ .*

(2) *Let M be a τ - \oplus -supplemented module for any preradical τ . If M has the (SSP), then M is completely τ - \oplus -supplemented.*

Proof. (1) By [2, 2.10] every direct summand of a τ -lifting module is τ -lifting. The rest is clear.

(2) Assume that M is τ - \oplus -supplemented and M has the (SSP). Let N be a direct summand of M . We will show that N is τ - \oplus -supplemented. Let $M = N \oplus N'$ for some submodule N' of M . Suppose that A is a direct summand of M . Since M has the (SSP), $A + N'$ is a direct summand of M . Let $M = (A + N') \oplus B$ for some $B \leq M$. Then $M/N' = (A + N')/N' \oplus (B + N')/N'$. Hence by Theorem 1.5, M/N' is τ - \oplus -supplemented and so N is τ - \oplus -supplemented. \square

We give a decomposition of any τ - \oplus -supplemented (D_3) -module by the second singular submodule $Z_2(M)$ of M . We will show that if M is τ - \oplus -supplemented and $N \leq M$ with M/N projective, then N is τ - \oplus -supplemented.

Recall that the *singular submodule* $Z(M)$ of a module M is defined by $Z(M) = \{m \in M \mid mE = 0, E \leq_e R\}$.

The *Goldie torsion submodule* (or *second singular submodule*) $Z_2(M)$ of M is a submodule of M containing $Z(M)$ such that $Z_2(M)/Z(M)$ is the singular submodule of $M/Z(M)$.

Proposition 2.5. *Let M be a module with (D_3) . Suppose that $Z_2(M)$ is τ -coclosed in M . Then for any preradical τ , M is $\tau\oplus$ -supplemented if and only if $M = Z_2(M) \oplus K$ for some submodule K of M and, $Z_2(M)$ and K are $\tau\oplus$ -supplemented.*

Proof. Sufficiency is clear by Theorem 1.2. Conversely, assume that M is $\tau\oplus$ -supplemented. There exist submodules K and K' of M such that $M = K \oplus K' = Z_2(M) + K$ and $Z_2(M) \cap K \subseteq \tau(K)$. Now $Z_2(M) = Z_2(K) \oplus Z_2(K')$. Thus, $M = K \oplus Z_2(K')$ and hence $Z_2(K') = K'$. Note that $Z_2(M) \cap K = Z_2(K) \subseteq \tau(K)$. So, we can obtain that $Z_2(M)/K' \subseteq \tau(M/K')$. Therefore, $Z_2(M) = K'$ because $Z_2(M)$ is τ -coclosed in M . So, $M = K \oplus Z_2(M)$. Clearly K and $Z_2(M)$ are $\tau\oplus$ -supplemented. \square

Proposition 2.6. *Let M be a τ -supplemented module for any preradical τ . Then $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$, where M_1 is semisimple module and M_2 is a module with $\tau(M_2)$ essential in M_2 .*

Proof. See [2, 2.2]. \square

Corollary 2.7. *Let M be a $\tau\oplus$ -supplemented module for any preradical τ . Then $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ where M_1 is a semisimple module and M_2 is a module with $\tau(M_2)$ essential in M_2 .*

Proof. Since each $\tau\oplus$ -supplemented module is τ -supplemented the result follows from Proposition 2.6. \square

Proposition 2.8. *Let M be a $\tau\oplus$ -supplemented module for a left exact preradical τ . Then $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ such that $\tau(M_2) = M_2$.*

Proof. Suppose that M is a $\tau\oplus$ -supplemented module. There exists a direct summand M_1 of M such that $M = M_1 + \tau(M)$ and $M_1 \cap \tau(M) = \tau(M_1)$ since τ is a left exact preradical and $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ for some submodule M_2 of M . Then $M = \tau(M_2) \oplus M_1$. Thus $M_2 = \tau(M_2)$. \square

Theorem 2.9. *For module M with (D_3) and a left exact preradical τ the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) M is completely $\tau\oplus$ -supplemented;
- (2) M is $\tau\oplus$ -supplemented;
- (3) $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$, where M_1 is semisimple module and M_2 is a $\tau\oplus$ -supplemented module with $\tau(M_2)$ essential in M_2 ;
- (4) $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ such that M_1 is a $\tau\oplus$ -supplemented module and M_2 is a $\tau\oplus$ -supplemented module with $\tau(M_2) = M_2$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Clear from definition.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) It follows from Theorem 2.2.

(1) \Rightarrow (3) By Proposition 2.6, $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$, where M_1 is semisimple module and M_2 is module with $\tau(M_2)$ essential in M_2 . By (1), M_2 is $\tau\oplus$ -supplemented.

(1) \Rightarrow (4) By Proposition 2.8, $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ such that $\tau(M_2) = M_2$ and M_1, M_2 are $\tau\oplus$ -supplemented by (1).

(3) \Rightarrow (2), (4) \Rightarrow (2) follows by Theorem 1.2. \square

Lemma 2.10. *Let M be an indecomposable module. Then for any preradical τ , M is completely torsion if and only if M is completely $\tau\oplus$ -supplemented.*

Proof. Clear. \square

Proposition 2.11. *Let $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ such that M_1 and M_2 have local endomorphism rings. Then for any preradical τ , M is completely τ - \oplus -supplemented if and only if M_1 and M_2 are completely torsion modules.*

Proof. The necessity is clear from Lemma 2.10. Conversely, let K be a direct summand of M . If $K = M$ then by Corollary 1.3, K is τ - \oplus -supplemented. Assume $K \neq M$. Then either $K \cong M_1$ or $K \cong M_2$ by [3, Corollary 12.7]. In either case K is τ - \oplus -supplemented. Thus M is completely τ - \oplus -supplemented. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Alkan, On τ -lifting and τ -semiperfect modules, *Turkish J. Math.* **33** (2009), 117–130.
- [2] K. Al-Takhman, C. Lomp and R. Wisbaure, τ -complemented and τ -supplemented modules, *Algebra and Discrete Mathematics*, **3** (2006), 1–15.
- [3] F. W. Anderson and K. R. Fuller, *Rings and Categories of Modules*, Springer-Verlog, New York, 1992.
- [4] K. R. Goodearl, *Ring Theory, Nonsingular Rings and Modules*, Marcel Dekker New York - Basel, 1976.
- [5] A. Harmanci, D. Keskin and P. F. Smith, On \oplus -supplemented modules, *Acta Math. Hungar.* **83** (1999), 161–169.
- [6] A. Idelhadj and R. Tribak, On some properties of \oplus -supplemented modules, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, **69** (2003), 4373–4378.
- [7] D. Keskin, On lifting modules, *Comm. Alg.* **28**(7) (2000), 3427–3440.
- [8] D. Keskin, Finite direct sum of (D_1) -modules, *Turkish J. Math.* **22**(1) (1998), 85–91.
- [9] M. T. Kosan and A. Harmanci, Modules supplemented relative to a torsion theory, *Turkish J. Math.* **28** (2004), 177–184.
- [10] S. M. Mohamed and B. J. Müller, *Continuous and Discrete Modules*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Series 147, Cambridge, University Press, 1990.
- [11] A. Ç. Özcan and M. Alkan, Semiperfect modules with respect to a preradical, *Commun. Alg.* **34** (2006), 841–856.
- [12] T. Ozen, C_1 modules with respect to a hereditary torsion theory, *Turkish J. Math.* **33** (2009), 321–329.
- [13] Y. Talebi and N. Vanaja, The torsion theory cogenerated by M-small modules, *Comm. Alg.* **30**(3) (2002), 1449–1460.
- [14] R. Tribak and D. Keskin, On \bar{Z}_M -semiperfect modules, *East-West J. of Mathematics*, **8**(2) (2006), 193–203.
- [15] K. Varadarajan, Dual Goldie dimension, *Comm. Alg.* **21** (1993), 1809–1847.
- [16] R. Wisbauer, *Foundations of module and ring theory*, Gordon and Breach, Reading, 1991.

Y. TALEBI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF MAZANDARAN, BABOLSAR, IRAN
E-mail address: talebi@umz.ac.ir

T. AMOZZEGAR, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF MAZANDARAN, BABOLSAR, IRAN
E-mail address: t.amozegar@umz.ac.ir

A. R. MONIRI HAMZEKOLAEI, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF MAZANDARAN, BABOLSAR, IRAN
E-mail address: a.monirih@umz.ac.ir